Congress of the United States Washington, DC 20515

March 11, 2011

Acting Director Edward DeMarco Federal Housing Finance Agency 1700 G Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20552

Dear Mr. DeMarco:

The polar star for the Federal Housing Finance Agency ("FHFA") in the conservatorship of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac ("the enterprises") must be minimizing taxpayer losses. We urge FHFA to pursue zealously all available legal claims to limit those losses, including claims against lenders from which the enterprises purchased mortgages and against issuers of "private-label" or "non-agency" mortgage-backed securities ("PLS") purchased by the enterprises, without regard to political considerations.

FHFA's opposition to modifying the enterprises' mortgages by reducing principal is greatly increasing taxpayer losses, however. Foreclosures result in a loss of 70 percent or more on the mortgage, and claims against homeowners for the difference, even in jurisdictions that allow such claims, are usually worthless. Sustainable mortgage modifications that avoid foreclosure will almost always reduce the loss to the enterprises. Modifications that reduce principal result in far fewer new defaults and eventual foreclosures than modifications that only reduce interest, or worse, are simply payment schedules. In addition, unnecessary foreclosures result in declining home values, more "underwater" homeowners and still more foreclosures, all increasing losses to taxpayers. Many residential real estate markets are already glutted with foreclosed homes.

Servicers for PLS mortgages now routinely reduce principal to the "net present value" of the mortgage, generally the value of the home as collateral together with the value to investors of the income stream from interest payments. Yet FHFA insists that servicers not reduce principal or even participate in HAMP's principal reduction program.

In short, FHFA's opposition to principal modifications makes no economic sense, and appears to violate FHFA's fiduciary duty to taxpayers to minimize taxpayer losses.

The need to reduce principal will be even more obvious if the reported "global settlement" with servicers becomes a reality. If servicers can match the principal reductions required by the settlement with reductions by investors in PLS mortgages, servicers will reduce those mortgages to the exclusion of the enterprises' mortgages. The result will be that taxpayers get none of the benefit of the settlement.

We strongly urge that you reconsider your previous refusal to allow principal modifications in light of your fiduciary duty to taxpayers.

Sincerely,

Rep. Brad Miller	George Miller
Rep. Barney Frank	Flial Jannings Rep Elijah Cummings
Rep. John Conyers	Maxine Waters
Rep. Linda Sanchez	Howard Berman
Lay L. Okkenson Rep. Gary Akerman	Rep. Robert Andrews
Rep. Lois Capps	Rep. Bob Filner
Al Green	Rep. Luis Gutierrez
Mal Harrings Rep. Alcee Hastings	Rep Maurice Hinchey
Rep. Eddie Bernice Johnson	Barbara Lee

Melley Berkley Rep. Shelley Berkley Rep. Bobby Rush	Aims Moran Rep. James Moran P. M. Rep. John Sarbanes
Rep. Janice Schakowsky Rep. Edolphus Towns	Rep. John Tierney Rep. Pete Stark
Rep. Gynn Woolsey Asid Micilline Rep. David Cicilline	Rep. Anna Eshoo Rep. Jim Langevin
Rep. John Yarmuth Rep. Karen R. Bass Tulka D. Ullan	Rep. Joe Baca Rep. Iav Inslee
Rep. Frederica S. Wilson	Rep. Steve Cohen

In Swell Rep. Terri Sewell Betty Sutton
Rep. Betty Sutton Dro O. Matsui Rep. Doris Matsui Sam Tan Kaul M. Hijalva

Rep Raul Grijalva Muchael Mobanda

Rep. Eleanor Holmes Norton

Rep. Debbie Wasserman Schultz